## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINES INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

No. TN/NMK/LST-5.MDS Mine Code: 38TMN23053 C-4-A, Rajaji Bhavan

CGO complex, Besant Nagar Chennai – 600 090. Dated: 05.9.2017

To:

Sri. S. Pappu, Managing Partner, M/s Mineral Enterprises, 2/124-1, Ayyagoungampalayam, Pallakapalayam post, Tiruchengode taluk, Namakkal district-637 303

Sub: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules,2017, in respect of your Pallakapalayam Limestone mine over 0.66 hect in Pallakapalayam village Tiruchengode taluk, Namakkal district of Tamilnadu State.

Sir,

The following provisions of the MCDR, 2017 was found violated in your above mine during the MCDR inspection by Sri A.S.Sanjeeva Rao, Asst., Mining Geologist on Geologist on 31.07.2017 in presence of Sri Vellavan, your representative.

	.2017 in presence of Sri Vellayan, your representative.
Rule No	Nature of Violation observed
11(2)	Mining operations under mining lease :If the mining operations are not carried out in
	accordance with the approved or certified mining plan or any information contained in
	the mining plan is found to be incorrect, misleading or non-compliant with applicable
	laws including these rules, the competent authority may by order, suspend all or any
	of the mining operations and permit continuance of only such operations as are
	required to restore the conditions in the mine as envisaged under the approved or
	certified mining plan or modified mining plan, for the purpose of restoration to the
	extent possible.
	The proposals made in the approved Modified mining
	dated.08.6.2016 under the para no.1.0(I) ,the Exploration proposed 04 no
	of core drill holes as per table no.10 for the previous year 2016-17.But,
	the exploration proposed has not been carried out by you.
26	Submission of yearly report: The holder of mining lease shall submit to the competent
(2)	authority authority a yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of
	Mines, before 1 st day of July every year setting forth the extent of protective and
	rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan, and if
	there is any deviation ,reasons thereof.
	But, the yearly report as required under rule has not been submitted
	to the Regional Controller of Mines.
	<u>Financial assurance:</u> Where financial assurance is required to be furnished by the
27(2)	holder of the mining lease under sub-rule (1), such amount of financial assurance shall
	be submitted to the authorised officer, as the case may be, in the form of a bank
	guarantee in the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines:
	Provided that where financial assurance has already been furnished before the
	commencement of these rules, an amount equal to the difference between the financial
	assurance due as on the date of notification of these rules and the financial assurance
	already furnished, shall be furnished to the authorised officer, as the case may be,
	within a period of ninety days from the date of notification of these rules i.e. 27.2.2017.
	The difference amount of Rs.4,85,000/- in the form of bank guarantee has not been submitted to this office till date.

- 02. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservations and Development Rules, 2017.
- 03. You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (forty five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

(Salil Sandip Kujur) Deputy Controller of Mines for Regional Controller of Mines

Copy forwarded for information to:

- 1. The Controller of Mines (SZ), Indian Bureau of Mines, Bangalore.
- 2. The Commissioner, Department of Geology & Mining, Chennai.

(Salil Sandip Kujur) Deputy Controller of Mines for Regional Controller of Mines